## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name

Global Molecular Labs

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-17-2684-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

May 12, 2017

**Respondent Name** 

Highlands Underwriters Insurance Co

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 1

## **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The charges referenced herein were filed with the Carrier and denied for "precertification or authorization or notification absent". We have requested reconsideration from the carrier and they are maintaining the rationale. We believe this claim has been denied arbitrarily and respectfully request dispute resolution in this matter."

Amount in Dispute: \$4,875.00

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The carrier contends that the ODG does not address the medical necessity of drug testing of the type done in this case over 20 years post-injury. There is no specific documentation for the necessity of confirmatory testing in this case, and therefore, the carrier's position is that the testing done either exceeds or is not addressed by the ODG, and so preauthorization should have been sought prior to the testing performed, and this dispute request should be dismissed accordingly."

Response Submitted by: Parker & Associates, L.L.C.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 7, 2016	G0482	\$4,875.00	\$207.54

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code Part 1, Chapter 19, Subchapter U sets out the requirements for utilization review of health care provided under Texas workers' compensation insurance coverage.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.600 sets out the requirements for prospective and concurrent review.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
- 5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §137.100 sets out the treatment guidelines for workers compensation services.
- 6. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 18 Duplicate claim/service
  - 197 Precertification/authorization/notification absent
  - W3 Request for reconsideration
  - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly

#### Issues

- 1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?
- 2. What is the rule applicable to reimbursement?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

# **Findings**

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement in the amount of \$4,875.00 for clinical laboratory services rendered on November 7, 2016. The carrier denied Code G0482 – "Drug test def 15-21 classes" as 197 – "Precertification/authorization/notification absent."

The insurance carrier in its response states, "The carrier contends that the ODG does not address the medical necessity of drug testing of the type done in this case over 20 years post-injury" and "...the carrier's position is that the testing done either exceeds or is not addressed by the ODG, and so preauthorization should have been sought" making assertions that question the appropriateness and medical necessity of the services in dispute. Although these assertions are made based on language taken from the ODG, the issues raised indicate that the insurance carrier is denying payment based on medical necessity.

The ODG, Pain, 2016, states, "Recommended as a tool to monitor compliance with prescribed substances, identify use of undisclosed substances, and uncover diversion of prescribed substances."

Health care provided in accordance with the ODG is presumed reasonable as specified in (c) of Rule §137.100. Section (e) of that same rule allows for the insurance carrier to retrospectively review reasonableness and medical necessity:

"An insurance carrier may retrospectively review, and if appropriate, deny payment for treatments and services not preauthorized under subsection (d) of this section when the insurance carrier asserts that health care provided within the Division treatment guidelines is not reasonably required. The assertion must be supported by documentation of evidence-based medicine that outweighs the presumption of reasonableness established by Labor Code §413.017."

28 Texas Administrative Code Part 1, Chapter 19, Subchapter U sets out the requirements for utilization review of health care provided under Texas workers' compensation insurance coverage. Applicable 28 TAC §19.2003 (b)(31) defines retrospective review as "A form of utilization review for health care services that have been provided to an injured employee."

No documentation was found to support that the insurance carrier retrospectively reviewed the reasonableness and medical necessity of the service in dispute pursuant to the minimal requirements of Chapter 19, subchapter U as required. The insurance carrier failed to follow the appropriate administrative process and remedy in order to address its assertions regarding appropriateness of care and medical necessity. Therefore, the services in dispute will be reviewed per applicable rules and fee guidelines.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code 134.203 (e) states in pertinent part,

The MAR for pathology and laboratory services not addressed in subsection (c)(1) of this section or in other Division rules shall be determined as follows:

- (1) 125 percent of the fee listed for the code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule for the technical component of the service; and,
- (2) 45 percent of the Division established MAR for the code derived in paragraph (1) of this subsection for the professional component of the service.

The maximum allowable reimbursement is calculated as follows: Medicare fee for  $G0481 = $166.03 \times 125\% = $207.54$ . There is no professional component for this code. The total MAR is \$207.54.

3. The maximum allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$207.54. The carrier previously paid \$0.00. The remaining balance of \$207.54 is due to the requestor.

## **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$207.54.

#### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Sec. 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$207.54, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

## **Authorized Signature**

		June 8, 2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.